# National PBM Drug Monograph ABATACEPT (ORENCIA®) FDA Approved: December 2005 VHA Pharmacy Benefits Management Strategic Healthcare Group and Medical Advisory Panel

The following recommendations are based on current medical evidence and expert opinion from clinicians. The content of the document is dynamic and will be revised as new clinical data becomes available. The purpose of this document is to assist practitioners in clinical decision-making, to standardize and improve the quality of patient care, and to promote cost-effective drug prescribing. The clinician should utilize this guidance and interpret it in the clinical context of the individual patient.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

#### Mode of Action:

The fusion protein abatacept binds to CD80 and CD86 receptors on antigen presenting cells, thereby preventing their interaction with the CD28 receptor on T-cells, which results in an inhibition of T-cell proliferation and cytokine release.

#### **FDA-Approved Indication:**

Abatacept is indicated for reducing signs and symptoms, inducing major clinical response, slowing the progression of structural damage, and improving physical function in adult patients with moderately to severely active rheumatoid arthritis (RA) who have had an inadequate response to  $\geq 1$  disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs), such as methotrexate (MTX) or tumor necrosis factor (TNF) antagonists .

#### **Dosage and Route:**

Abatacept should be administered as a 30-minute intravenous infusion according to the specified dose schedule based on weight (500mg for < 60 kg; 750 mg for 60 kg-100 kg; and 1 gram for > 100 kg). After the initial administration, abatacept should be given at 2 and 4 weeks after the first infusion, then every 4 weeks thereafter. Abatacept can be used as monotherapy or in combination with DMARDs *other than TNF antagonists*. Abatacept is not recommended for use concomitantly with anakinra.

#### Efficacy:

The approval of abatacept was based on data from five clinical trials that suggested clinical activity of abatacept for the treatment of patients with moderately to severely active RA who have had an inadequate response to  $\geq 1$  DMARDs, including TNF antagonists. The studies included 3 adequate and well-controlled studies and additional Phase 2 trials. Abatacept demonstrated effects on signs and symptoms of RA, including inducing major clinical response, delaying structural damage, and improving physical function. Four of these trials are published, and one is in abstract form.

#### Safety:

There was a higher rate of serious infections in patients treated with abatacept, especially with patients receiving concomitant TNF-blocking agents. Overall malignancy rates were not substantially different between abatacept (1.5%) and placebo (1.1%) treated patients. However, abatacept treated patients had more cases of lung cancer and a higher rate of lymphomas when compared to the general US population. Infusion-related reactions were observed including hypersensitivity reactions and 2 cases of anaphylaxis. Patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) treated with abatacept had a higher incidence of adverse events and serious adverse events, especially respiratory disorders.

#### **Conclusions:**

No comparative studies are available comparing abatacept with other DMARDs for the treatment of RA. Therefore, it is difficult to extrapolate superiority of one over the other. Abatacept has demonstrated efficacy in patients with RA that have not responded to DMARDs, including MTX and TNF antagonists. Abatacept can be taken alone or with other DMARDs, except TNF antagonists or anakinra. Evidence shows increased frequency of infections and serious infections with no added clinical benefit when abatacept was combined with a TNF inhibitor. Safety and efficacy of abatacept has not been evaluated in concomitant use with anakinra. Cost of abatacept is higher compared to other biologic agents when dosed for patients less than 60kg and has the potential to increase with higher doses based on patients' weight.

#### **Recommendations:**

ABATACEPT should remain a non-formulary agent and be added to the **Criteria for Use.** Use should be reserved for patients refractory to other RA treatment, may not be candidates for the other agents, or unable to tolerate the other agents. Also, there is a potential for dosing variability depending on patient's weight that is associated with a significant cost difference.

at

## **INTRODUCTION**

The purposes of this monograph are to:

- Evaluate the available evidence of safety, tolerability, efficacy, cost, and other pharmaceutical issues 1. that would be relevant to evaluating abatacept for possible addition to the VA National Formulary;
- 2. Define role of abatacept in therapy for rheumatoid arthritis (RA);
- Identify parameters for rational use of abatacept in the VA. 3.

# PHARMACOLOGY/PHARMACOKINETICS 1, 2

Abatacept is a soluble chimeric protein consisting of the extracellular domain of human CD152 and a fragment (hinge, CH2 and CH3 domains) of the Fc portion of human IgG1. It binds to B7-1 (CD80) and B7-2 (CD86) molecules on antigen presenting cells, thus blocking the CD-28-mediated costimulary signal for T-cell activation.

Parameter	Healthy Subjects (After 10mg/kg Single Dose) N=13	RA Patients (After 10mg/kg Multiple Doses*) N=14
Peak Concentration (Cmax) [mcg/mL]	292 (175-427)	295 (171-398)
Terminal half-life (t1/2)	16.7 (12-23)	13.1 (8-25)
[days]		
Systemic Clearance (CL)	0.23 (0.16-0.30)	0.22 (0.13-0.47)
[mL/h/kg]		
Volume of distribution (Vss)	0.09 (0.06-0.13)	0.07 (0.02-0.13)
[L/kg]		

No systemic accumulation of abatacept occurred after continued repeated administration with 10mg/kg at monthly intervals in RA patients. There was a trend towards higher clearance of abatacept with increasing body weight. Age and gender (when corrected for body weight) did not affect clearance. Concomitant methotrexate (MTX), nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), corticosteroids, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) blocking agents did not influence abatacept clearance.

# FDA APPROVED INDICATIONS<sup>-1</sup>

- For use in adult patients with moderately to severely active RA that have an inadequate response to  $\geq 1$ DMARDs, such as MTX or TNF antagonists
  - Reducing signs and symptoms 0
  - Inducing major clinical response 0
  - Slowing the progression of structural damage 0
  - Improving physical function 0
- For use as monotherapy or combination therapy with DMARDs (other than TNF antagonists)

## CURRENT VA NATIONAL FORMULARY ALTERNATIVES

		Etanercept (Enbrel®)			Rituximab (Rituxan®)
Formulary					X – Restricted to oncology
Non-formulary	Х	Х	Х	Х	

# **DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION**<sup>1</sup>

<b>Body Weight of Patient</b>	Dose	Number of Vials*
< 60 kg	500 mg	2
60 – 100 kg	750 mg	3
>100 kg	1 gram	4

\*Each vial provides 250 mg of abatacept for administration.

Abatacept should be administered as a 30-minute intravenous infusion according to the specified dose schedule based on weight as depicted above.

After the initial administration, abatacept should be given at 2 and 4 weeks after the first infusion, then every 4 weeks thereafter.

Abatacept can be used as monotherapy or in combination with DMARDs other than TNF antagonists.

Abatacept is provided as a lyophilized powder for intravenous infusion in an individually packaged, single-use vial with a silicone-free disposable syringe. The powder must be protected from light and refrigerated at 2°-8° Celsius. The abatacept powder in each vial must be reconstituted with 10mL of Sterile Water for Injection, USP, using ONLY the SILICONE-FREE DISPOSABLE SYRINGE PROVIDED WITH EACH VIAL and an 18-21 gauge needle. The solution may develop translucent particulate matter if accidentally reconstituted with a siliconized syringe.

The infusion of the entire, fully diluted abatacept solution must be completed within 24 hours of reconstitution of the abatacept vials. The fully diluted solution may be stored at room temperature or refrigerated at 2°-8° Celsius before use.

# **<u>EFFICACY</u>**<sup>3, 4, 5, 6, 7</sup>

## • EFFICACY MEASURES

Three endpoints addressing clinical outcomes have been validated and used to determine efficacy of abatacept in the treatment of RA in published clinical trials.

- 1. The proportion of subjects achieving a >20% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria at 6 months, which is defined as:
  - $\geq 20\%$  improvement in Tender Joint Count
  - $\geq$  20% improvement in Swollen Joint Count
  - $\geq$  20% improvement in 3 of the following 5:
    - Patient pain assessment
    - o Patient global assessment
    - Physician global assessment
    - Patient self-assessed disability (disability index of the Health Assessment Questionnaire [HAQ])
    - Acute phase reactant (C-reactive protein [CRP])
- 2. Improvement in the Disability Index of the Health Assessment Questionnaire [HAQ] at time of evaluation compared to baseline to assess improvement in physical function.
- 3. Radiographic changes per the Genant-modified Sharp method for x-ray scoring, where radiographs of hands, wrists, and feet are scored to assess the amount of change in radiographic damage from baseline and time of evaluation.

## • SUMMARY OF EFFICACY FINDINGS

## • PUBLISHED TRIALS

- A dose-finding, placebo-controlled trial evaluated 214 RA patients treated unsuccessfully with at least 1 DMARD, including etanercept. Patients received 4 infusions of abatacept (0.5, 2, or 10mg/kg) on days 1, 15, 29, and 57, and were evaluated on day 85. Patients discontinued any DMARD or etanercept treatment through day 85. ACR 20 responses on day 85 occurred in a dose-dependent manner (23%, 44%, and 53% respectively for 0.5, 2, and 10mg/kg groups) compared to 31% of placebo-treated patients.<sup>3</sup>
- A 12-month, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study compared 2mg/kg abatacept, 10mg/kg abatacept, or placebo in 339 patients with active RA despite MTX therapy. Patients received concomitant MTX treatment. After 12 months, greater percentages of patients treated with 10mg/kg abatacept compared to placebo achieved ACR 20 (62.6% versus 36.1%), ACR 50 (41.7% versus 20.2%), and ACR 70 (20.9%)

March 2006

versus 7.6%) responses. Patients treated with 10mg/kg of abatacept also had clinically important improvement in HAQ scores compared with placebo (49.6% versus 27.7%). No significant differences in ACR20 responses or improvements in physical function were observed in the 2mg/kg abatacept group compared to placebo.<sup>4</sup>

- A 6-month, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial compared abatacept 10mg/kg with placebo in patients with active RA that had an inadequate response to TNF inhibitors. Current or former users of TNF inhibitors were washed-out of their TNF therapy prior to randomization. Background DMARDs or anakinra were allowed. After 6 months, greater responses were seen in the abatacept group compared to placebo regarding ACR 20 (50.4% versus 19.5%), ACR 50 (20.3% versus 3.8%), ACR 70 (10.2% versus 1.5%), and clinically meaningful improvements in physical function (47.3% versus 23.3%).<sup>5</sup>
- A 1-year, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial compared abatacept 10mg/kg with placebo in 652 patients with an inadequate response to MTX. Patients continued treatment with MTX. At 6 months, the mHAQ summary score improved 41% for patients in the abatacept group compared to 14% for patients in the placebo group. At 1 year, greater responses were seen in abatacept-treated patients compared to placebo regarding ACR 20 (73.1% versus 39.7%), ACR 50 (48.3% versus 18.2%), and ACR 70 (28.8% versus 6.1%).<sup>6</sup>

## • UNPUBLISHED TRIALS

• The Abatacept Study of Safety in Use with other Rheumatoid Arthritis ThErapies (ASSURE) trial assessed the safety of abatacept compared to placebo as add-on therapy with one or more non-biologic DMARDs and/or biologic DMARDs in patients with active RA. A total of 1441 patients were treated during 1 year. Improvements from baseline were seen in patient-reported outcomes for abatacept-treated patients, with greatest benefits over placebo occurring in patients receiving non-biologic background DMARDs.<sup>7</sup>

For further details on the efficacy results of the clinical trials, refer to APPENDIX: CLINICAL TRIALS.

# ADVERSE EVENTS (SAFETY DATA) 7,8

Adverse Event	Abatacept (N=1955) <sup>a</sup> Percentage	Placebo (N=989) <sup>b</sup> Percentage
Headache	18	13
Nasopharyngitis	12	9
Dizziness	9	7
Cough	8	7
Back Pain	7	6
Hypertension	7	4
Dyspepsia	6	4
Urinary tract infection	6	5
Rash	4	3
Pain in extremity	3	2

<sup>a</sup> Includes 204 patients on concomitant biologic DMARDs (adalimumab, anakinra, etanercept, or infliximab). <sup>b</sup> Includes 134 patients on concomitant biologic DMARDs (adalimumab, anakinra, etanercept, or infliximab).

## • TOLERABILITY

	Abatacept (N=1955) % (n)	Placebo (N=989) % (n)
Discontinuations due to SAEs	2.7 (53)	1.6 (16)
Discontinuations due to AEs	5.5 (107)	3.9 (39)
Adverse Events (AEs)	88.8 (1736)	84.9 (840)

## • OVERALL SAFETY

	Abatacept (N=1955) % (n)	Placebo (N=989) % (n)
Death	0.5 (9)	0.6 (6)
Serious Adverse Events (SAEs)	13.6 (266)	12.3 (122)
Adverse Events (AEs)	88.8 (1736)	84.9 (840)
Most Commonly Reported AEs:		
Headache	18.2 (356)	12.6 (125)
<b>Upper Respiratory Tract Infection</b>	12.7 (248)	12.0 (119)
Nausea	11.5 (224)	10.6 (105)
Nasopharyngitis	11.5 (225)	9.1 (90)
Most Seriously Reported AEs:		
Infection	53.8 (1051)	48.3 (478)
Serious Infection	3.0 (58)	1.9 (19)
Malignant Neoplasms	1.2 (24)	1.0 (10)

## • SAFETY SPLIT BY BACKGROUND THERAPY

	Abatacept + biologic background therapy (N=204) %(n)	Placebo + biologic background therapy (N=134) %(n)	Abatacept + non-biologic background therapy (N=1755) %(n)	Placebo + non-biologic background therapy (N=855) %(n)
SAEs	19.6 (40)	9.0 (12)	12.9 (226)	12.9 (110)
AEs	94.1 (192)	84.3 (113)	88.2 (1544)	85.0 (727)
Infections	63.7 (130)	43.3 (58)	52.6 (921)	49.1 (420)
Serious Infections	4.4 (9)	1.5 (2)	2.8 (49)	2.0 (17)

## • INFUSION RELATED REACTIONS AND HYPERSENSITIVITY REACTIONS

- Acute infusion reactions within 1 hour post-infusion
  - 9% abatacept-treated patients vs. 6% placebo-treated patients
    - Most frequently reported events (1-2%)
      - Dizziness
      - Headache
      - Hypertension
  - Less commonly reported events (>0.1% and  $\leq 1\%$ )
    - Cardiopulmonary symptoms (hypotension, increased blood pressure, dyspnea)
    - Other symptoms (nausea, flushing, urticaria, cough, hypersensitivity, pruritis, rash, and wheezing)
- o Fewer than 1% of abatacept-treated patients discontinued due to an acute infusion-related event
- Anaphylaxis 2 cases in patients receiving abatacept

For further details on the safety results of the clinical trials, refer to APPENDIX: CLINICAL TRIALS.

## PRECAUTIONS/CONTRAINDICATIONS<sup>1</sup>

• **PRECAUTIONS** 

0

.

- Concomitant use with TNF antagonists greater risk of infection with no demonstrated enhancement of efficacy
- Live vaccines should not be given concurrently with abatacept or within 3 months of its discontinuation may blunt the effectiveness of some immunizations

#### March 2006

- o New infections, malignancies potential to exacerbate as T cells mediate their response
- History of recurrent infections, underlying conditions which may predispose to infections, or chronic, latent, or localized infections exacerbation of infection
- Patients should be screened for latent tuberculosis infection with a tuberculin skin test safety of abatacept in individuals with latent tuberculosis infection is unknown
- Monitor COPD patients for worsening of their respiratory status COPD patients treated with abatacept developed adverse events more frequently than those treated with placebo, including COPD exacerbations, cough, rhonchi, and dyspnea.
- The frequency of serious infection and malignancy among abatacept-treated patients over age 65 was higher than for those under age 65.
- Pregnancy Category C
- Nursing mothers animal studies show abatacept present in rat milk.

## CONTRAINDICATIONS

• Hypersensitivity to abatacept or any of its components

## LOOK-ALIKE/SOUND-ALIKE ERROR RISK POTENTIAL

The VA PBM and Center for Medication Safety is conducting a pilot program which queries a multi-attribute drug product search engine for similar sounding and appearing drug names based on orthographic and phonologic similarities, as well as similarities in dosage form, strength, and route of administration. Based on similarity scores and clinical judgment, the following drug names may be potential sources of drug name confusion:

LA/SA for abatacept	LA/SA for Orencia®
Aricept®	Aredia®
Abelcet®	Oretic®
Alefacept	Iressa®
Atrosept®	Auranofin
Etanercept	Orfro®
	Anexsia®

# **DRUG INTERACTIONS**<sup>1</sup>

- No formal drug interaction studies have been conducted with abatacept.
- MTX, NSAIDs, corticosteroids, and TNF blocking agents did not influence abatacept clearance.
- Concomitant administration of a TNF antagonist with abatacept also been associated with an increased risk of serious infections and no significant additional efficacy over use of the TNF antagonists alone.
- Concurrent use with anakinra is not recommended due to insufficient experience to assess safety and efficacy.
- Live vaccines should not be given concurrently with abatacept or within 3 months of its discontinuation.

## PHARMACOECONOMIC ANALYSIS

No data exists in the published literature regarding the pharmacoeconomics of abatacept.

For further details on the pharmacoeconomic analyses of other biologic agents, refer to *CRITERIA FOR USE FOR LEFLUNOMIDE AND THE BIOLOGIC DMARDs IN THE TREATMENT OF MODERATE TO SEVERE RA.* 

## ACQUISITION COSTS

\* Costs as reported below reflect current pricing only. Please refer to the PBM website (vaww.pbm.med.va.gov or <u>www.vapbm.org</u>) for updated cost information.

Product	Dose	Schedule	Cost/Dispensing Unit	Cost/ Patient /Year (\$)
	500mg (<60 kg)	Once every 4 weeks	\$336.84/15ml vial	<60 kg: \$10,105.20
Abatacept ◊	750mg (60-100 kg)		(250mg/15ml vial)	60-100kg: \$15,157.80
(Orencia ®)	1 gram (>100 kg)			>100kg: \$20,210.40
	1000mg	IV infusions twice, 2	\$1,646.28/50ml vial	\$6,585.12
Rituximab		weeks apart	(10mg/ml Inj, 50 ml vial)	
(Rituxan ®)	40		ф.coд д.t/д. : 1	¢0.040.c2
Adalimumab	40 mg	Every other week	\$687.74/2 single-use syringes	\$8,940.62
(Humira®)			(40mg/1ml syringe)	
	40 mg	Weekly	\$687.74/2 single-use	\$17,881.24
Adalımumab (Humira®)			syninges	
(riunnue)			(40mg/1ml syringe)	
Anakinra	100 mg	Once daily	\$824.44/28 single-use syringes	\$10,717.72
(Kineret <sup>®</sup> )			(100mg/1ml syringe)	
Etanercept	25mg	Twice weekly	\$360.06/4 SDV	\$9,361.56
(Enbrel <sup>®</sup> )			(25mg/vial)	
Etanercept	50mg	Once weekly	\$720.12/4 SDV	\$9,361.56
(Enbrel <sup>®</sup> )			(50mg/vial)	
Infliximab	3 mg/kg	Once every 8 weeks	\$392.81/20ml vial	<70kg \$7,070.58 - \$10,605.87
(Remicade ) ‡			(100mg/20ml vial)	>70kg \$10,605.87 - \$14,141.16
Infliximab	10 mg/kg	Once every 8 weeks	\$392.81/20ml vial	<70kg \$21,211.74 - \$24,747.03
(Remicade ) <u></u>			(100mg/20ml vial)	>70kg \$24,747.03 - \$28,282.32
Leflunomide	100 mg;	Once daily for 3 days	\$169.96/ 30 tablets (20mg/tablet)	\$2,147.16
(Mava	20mg	Once daily	(20mg/tablet)	
Leflunomide	10 mg	Once daily ( not including loading dose)	\$170.06/30 tablets	\$2,063.39
Leflunomide	100 mg:	Once daily for 3 days	\$ 43.00/ 30 tablets	\$543.23
(Generic)	6,	(loading dose);	(20mg/tablet)	
	20mg	Once daily	# 10 00/CC 11	<b>\$525.52</b>
Leflunomide (Generic)	10 mg	Once daily (not including loading dosp)	\$43.00/30 tablets (10mg/tablet)	\$521.73
Methotrexate †	25 mg	Weekly	\$0.16 - \$0.70 per tablet	\$83.20 - \$364.00
memorioacture	20 1115	contry	(2.5  mg tabs)	φ03.20 φ30 <del>1</del> .00

**SDV** = single dose vials

◊ Costs include infusion at weeks 0, 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, 28, 32, 36, 40, 44, 48, 52;
 <60kg = 2 vials; 60-100kg = 3 vials; >100kg = 4 vials

Costs include infusion at weeks 0, 2, 6, 14, 22, 30, 38, 46, 54;

3mg/kg: <70kg 2-3 vials, >70kg 3-4 vials; 10mg/kg: <70kg 6-7 vials, >70kg 7-8 vials † Methotrexate included to calculate combination therapy costs

# **CONCLUSIONS**

No comparative studies are available comparing abatacept with other DMARDs for the treatment of RA. Therefore, it is difficult to extrapolate superiority of one over the other. Abatacept has demonstrated efficacy in patients with RA that have not responded to DMARDs, including MTX and TNF antagonists. Abatacept can be taken alone or with other DMARDs, except TNF antagonists or anakinra. Evidence shows increased frequency of infections and

## March 2006

serious infections with no added clinical benefit when abatacept was combined with a TNF inhibitor. Safety and efficacy of abatacept has not been evaluated in concomitant use with anakinra. Cost of abatacept is higher compared to other biologic agents when dosed for patients less than 60kg and has the potential to increase with higher doses based on patients' weight. Due to limited safety data, use should be reserved for patients refractory to other RA treatment, may not be candidates for the other agents, or unable to tolerate the other agents. Also, there is a potential for dosing variability depending on patient's weight that is associated with a significant cost difference.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

It is recommended that ABATACEPT remain a non-formulary agent and be added to the **Criteria for Use for Leflunomide and the Biologic DMARDs for the Treatment of Moderate to Severe Rheumatoid Arthritis** located at

http://www.pbm.va.gov/criteria/Criteria%20for%20Use%20for%20Leflunomide%20and%20Biologic%20DMARD s.pdf .

## REFERENCES

1. Orencia® (abatacept) [package insert]. Princeton, NJ: Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; December 2005.

2. Kremer JM. Selective costimulation modulators: a novel approach for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *J Clin Rheumatol* 2005; 11 suppl 3: S55-62.

3. Moreland LW, Alten R, Van den Bosch F, et al. Costimulary blockade in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: A pilot, dose-finding, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial evaluating CTLA-4Ig and LEA29Y eighty-five days after the first infusion. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002; 46(6): 1470-1479.

4. Kremer JM, Dougados M, Emery P, et al. Treatment of rheumatoid arthritis with the selective costimulation modulator abatacept: Twelve month results of a phase IIb, double-blind, randomized, placebo controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005; 52(8): 2263-2271.

5. Genovese MC, Becker JC, Schiff M, et al. Abatacept for rheumatoid arthritis refractory to tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$  inhibition. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 353(11): 1114-23.

6. Kremer J, Genant HK, Moreland LW, et al. Effects of abatacept in patients with methotrexate-resistant active rheumatoid arthritis: A randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2006; 144:865-876.

7. Combe B, Weinblatt M, Birbara C, et al. Safety and patient-reported outcomes associated with abatacept in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis patients receiving background disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs): The ASSURE trial [presentation 1918]. Annual meeting of the American College of Rheumatology; November 13-17, 2005; San Diego, CA.

8. Moreland L, Kaine J, Espinoza L, et al. Safety of abatacept in rheumatoid arthritis patients in five double-blind, placebo-controlled trials [presentation 886]. Annual meeting of the American College of Rheumatology; November 13-17, 2005; San Diego, CA.

Prepared by: M. Sales, Pharm.D. Date: March 2006

## **APPENDIX: CLINICAL TRIALS**

Citation Design	Eligibility Criteria	Interventions	Patient Population Profile	Efficacy Results								Safety Results							
Analysis Type																			
Setting	BICLUSION	CTTL A 4 I	E 1 75%	N 214 ( 1 )		LEADON	02 DI	1 2	2				DDO	CITE A 4		-	LEADON		
Moreland et al	INCLUSION: 18-65 years of age:	CTLA4-Ig: 0.5 mg/kg	Female = 75%; $Male = 25%$	N=214 (abatacep	pts = 90	; LEA29Y pts	= 92; Pla	cebo = 3	2)			% With drawala	рво	CILA4-			LEA29Y		
(2002)	RA < 7 yrs: >10 SJ.	2.0  mg/kg	Value = 2370		PBO	CTLA4-Io			LEA29Y			before day		(mg/kg)			(mg/kg)		
()	$\geq 12$ TJ; ESR $\geq 28$	10.0 mg/kg	Race		100	dose			dose			85		(ing/kg)			(IIIg/Rg)		
Phase II,	mm/hr or morning	0 0	White $= 91\%$			(mg/kg)			(mg/kg)					0.5	2.0	10.0	0.5	2.0	10.0
MC,	stiffness $\geq$ 45 min;	LEA29Y:	Black = 4%			0.5	2.0	10.0	0.5	2.0	10.0	Total	38	32	27	13	6	8	14
RCT,	treated	0.5 mg/kg,	Other $= 5\%$	ACR 20 (%)	31	23	44	53	34	45	61	Worsening	31	19	12	9	3	3	6
DB, PC,	unsuccessfully with	2.0 mg/kg,	40.4	ACR 50 (%)	7	0	19	16	6	10	12	RA							
pilot,	at least 1 classic	10.0 mg/kg	Age = $48.4 \pm 11.2$ yrs renge	ACR 70 (%)	0	0	12	6	0	4	3	Adverse	0.5	8	7	10	3	4	7
dose-	MTY	Placebo	21.66	100%	0	0	16	9	3	10	0	Events							
munig	oral/parenteral gold	Flacebo	21-00	improvement								<b></b>				-			
multi-	Sulfasalazine	Study med was given	Weight $= 71.0$	in both TJ &								AEs occurring u	up to day	r 85	PB	0	CTLA4-Ig	LEA	429Y
national	Chloroquine, D-	on days 1, 15, 29, 57;	$\pm$ 14.6 kg, range	SJ								N (%)			(n=	=32)	(n=90)	(n=9	92)
setting	penicillamine,	Days $1-85 = tx$ period;	39-101	0/	DDO	CTLA4 L		1	LEADON			Total with AEs			24	(75)	73 (81.1)	76 (	82.6)
	azathioprine,	f/u thru Day 169		% Improvement	PBU	dose			dose			D/C due to AES	5 N 17 -		0(	0)	4 (4.4)	1 (1	.1)
	leflunomide,		RA duration =	mprovement		(mg/kg)			(mg/kg)			Most frequent A	AES		1.0	2 1)	8 (8 0)	5 (5	- 40
	cyclosporine, or	4 injections over a 2	$3.4 \pm 2.0$ yrs,			0.5	2.0	10.0	0.5	2.0	10.0	N/V			20	5.1) 6 3)	5(5.5)	5 (5	(.4)
	etanercept.	month period	range 0.0-7.6	TJC	29.3	26.1	49.0	54.6	40.8	43.5	47.8	Fatigue			10	3.1)	4 (4.4)	7 (7	. <del></del> )
	Labo - Hab		Drior made	SJC	32.1	15.4	41.6	40.7	32.6	40.7	61.3	Arthritis			3 (	9.4)	4 (4.4)	4 (4	.3)
	$1 \text{ abs} = \text{ ngb} \ge$ 8 5 gm/dL PLT >		MTX = 79%	Pain Score	4.6	5.1	25.6	28.1	15.0	15.2	23.7	Hypotension			2 (	6.3)	3 (3.3)	1 (1	.1)
	125.000 mm3. WBC		Other	Pt Global	3.3	8.0	24.3	30.9	10.8	20.6	30.6	Serious AEs			4 (	12.5)	4 (4.4)	4 (4	.3)
	$\geq$ 3000/mm3, SCr $\leq$		DMARDs =	Assessment								Serious AEs rel	lated to t	he drug study	0 (	0)	0 (0)	0 (0	)
	$2x$ ULN, LFTs $\leq 2x$		84%	MD Global	14.4	10.5	25.7	28.2	20.3	22.3	31.8								
	ULN, negative PPD		Corticosteroids	Assessment								No notable renal,	hepatic,	or hematolog	ic adve	rse even	ts		
	within last 6 months		= 90%	Function	5.1	0.7	11.8	20.3	8.8	18.3	24.5	173/214 (81%) re	ported a	dverse events	(518 ev	vents) du	iring tx period		
	or if positive PPD		NSAIDs = 83%	score	0.5		10.5		10.0	16.6	<b>51</b> 4	129 (60%) report	ed adver	se events (256	events	) during	1/U 240/ LEA20N	7. 210/	DDO
	then Calmette-			CRP mg/dL	0.7	0.0	13.7	54.6	- 10.0	46.6	71.4	117 peri-infusiona	arevents	occurred = 2	9% C 11	LA4-Ig;	54% LEA291	, 51%	PBO
	Guerin			ESR mm/hr	-8.3	-11.1	25.0	18.3	13.0	23.5	41.7	Most common pe	ri-infusi	onal adverse e	vents (	vs PRO	) —		
	immunization or			AM stiffness	-3.0	13.0	40.5	42.9	29.2	03.3	51.4	N/V CTLA4-Ig 7	% vs. 39	6 PBO	venus (	5. I DO	) —		
	course of adequate			(initiates)								HA LEA29Y 8%	vs. 3%	РВО					
	chemoprophylaxis																		
	of TB has to be											4% pts tx'd with	active m	ed had serious	s advers	se events	s vs. 13% PBC	)	
	documented																		
												5 pts withdrew							
	All pts had to use											CILA4Ig							
	medically accepted											0.5 mg/kg	1	t with women	m ~ D A				
	IOITH OI											0.5 mg/kg	1 p	t with breast (	''A dx'd	l on day	57 after 4 <sup>th</sup> in	fusion	
	women had to have												• P	t with breast C		. on day		1031011	
	negative result on											2 mg/kg	1 n	t with worsen	ing R A				
	serum or urine												1 p	t with anxiety	attack:	sx resol	ved		
	pregnancy test											LEA29Y							
	within 72 hours												1 p	t with upper re	espirato	ry infec	tion; sx resolv	ed	

February 2006

Abatacept Monograph

	prior to receiving							10 mg/kg			
	study med							10 mg/kg			
	~·,							SAEs – 15 during tx	period – most	were worsening RA ne	eding hospitalization
	EXCLUSION:							1 pt with septic arthr	itis on CTLA4	Ig 2mg/kg – hospitalize	d 88 days after last dose
	Nursing women							for staph aureus sept	ic arthritis of the	ne elbow	
								No antibodies to the	meds were det	ectable at any time poin	nt
Kremer	INCLUSION:	Abatacept 2mg/kg,	Age = 54.4-	N=339 (abatacept 10r	ng/kg, N=115; abata	cept 2mg/kg, N=105; pl	acebo, N=119)	D/C's =			
et al.	18-65 yrs of age;	abatacept 10mg/kg, or	55.8	Constant				placebo - 48	1		
(2005)	ACR criteria for RA	placebo was infused	W.:-1.4 77.0	6 months	DDO - MTV	2	10	2mg/kg abatacept - 3	1		
Dhaca IIb	functional alass I. II	minute period on days	weight = $7.8 - 70.0$	rote (%)	PBO + MTX (N-110)	2mg/kg + MTX (N=105)	10 mg/kg + M1X (N-115)	Significant difference	23 . in d/a ratas h	t 10mg/kg abatagant &	PPO(n<0.01)
12-	or III: active $\mathbf{R} \Delta$ :	1 15 and 30 and every	19.9	1ate (70)	(11-119)	(11-103)	(N=113) 60.0	Significant difference	$c \ln d/c$ rates b	r lack of efficacy (p<0	(p<0.01)
month	>10 SL >12 TL	30 days thereafter	Female = 63-	ACK 20	55.5	41.9	P<0.001	No significant differe	ence bt 10mg/	g abatacent & PBO gr	$\frac{1}{2}$ oups in d/c rate due to
MC.	CRP levels of at	so adjo moreaner	75%	ACR 50	11.8	22.9	36.5	AEs	ince of roing i	ig usualeept te i bo gi	sups in a crute due to
RCT,	least 1 mg/dL (ULN,	MTX 10-30mg/wk for		nex 50	11.0	P<0.05	P<0.001				
DB, PC	0.4); treated with	the first 180 days of the	Race =	ACR 70	1.7	10.5	16.5	Most frequently repo	rted AEs in 10	mg/kg + 2mg/kg (≥5%	of pts)
	MTX (10-30mg	trial with no	White - 91-			P<0.05	P<0.001	%	PBO +	2mg/kg + MTX	10mg/kg + MTX
	weekly) for at least	adjustments except for	104%	*p-value for comparis	son with group giver	PBO + MTX			MTX		
	6 months and	hepatotoxicity.	Black – 0-6%	1	8 18			Nasopharyngitis		18.1	14.8
	received a stable	Between days 180-360,	Other – 9-14%	12 months				HA		16.2	14.8
	dose for 28 days	changes allowed based	D:	ACR response	PBO + MTX	2mg/kg + MTX	10mg/kg + MTX	N		11.4	13.9
	leflunomide and	on clinical judgment: 1)	duration -	rate (%)	(N=71)	(N=74)	(N=90)	Arthralgia		16.2	
	infliximab were	provided that dosage	8.9-9.7 years	ACR 20	35.5	41.9	62.6		-		
	d/c'd at least 60	was $< 30 \text{ mg/wk} \cdot 2$ ) the	0.9 9.7 years				P<0.001	Serious AEs (%)	PBO +	2mg/kg + MTX	10mg/kg + MTX
	days before	addition of another	TJ = 28.2 - 30.8	ACR 50	19.5	22.9	41.7		MTX	2.0	0.0
	enrollment, and	DMARD					P<0.001	Chest pain	0	3.8	0.9
	other DMARDs	(hydroxychloroquine,	SJ = 20.2 - 21.8	ACR 70	7.5	12.5	20.9 D. 0.002	MI	0.8	0	0.9
	were d/c'd at least	sulfasalazine, gold, or	zine, gold, or				P=0.005	GI Disorder	0	0	0.9
	28 days before	azathioprine); and 3)	Pain (VAS) =	Remission rate	PBO + MTY	10ma/ka + MTY		No deaths cancers of	portunistic i	factions	
	enrollment; stable	adjustment in	62.1-65.2	(%)	1DO + WIX	Tonig/Kg + WITA		No deatils, cancers, c	opportunistic ii	licetions	
	low-dose	corticosteroids		3 months	7.6	17.4		Malignancies = in 10	mg/kg group		
	corticosteroids ( $\leq 10$	equivalent to $\leq$	MHAQ = 1.0	6 months	9.2	26.1		1 bladder carcinoma			
	NSAIDs were	Tonig/day predifisorie	Pt global	12 months	10.1	34.8		2 basal cell carcinom	a		
	permitted		assessment =	Significant remission	rates seen in abatace	ept 10mg/kg vs. PBO gro	oups (p<0.001 vs. PBO)	1 neoplasm			
	permitted		59.4-62.8	U		1 0 0 0	i u ,				
	EXCLUSION:			Low Disease	PBO + MTX	10mg/kg + MTX		IMMUNOGENICIT	Y		
	Women who were		MD global	Activity (%)		0.0		No pts seroconverted	for abatacept	antibodies to whole mo	olecule
	nursing or pregnant		assessment=	3 months	18.5	29.6		2 pts produced antibo	odies to CTLA	-4Ig portion	
			61.0-63.3	6 months	19.3	40					
			CDD / W	12 months	21.9	49.6					
			CRP mg/dL =	Statistically significar	nt rates bt abatacept	10mg/kg vs. PBO (P<0.	05 at all time points)				
			2.9-3.2		1		_				
			DAC22 = 5.4	Physical	PBO + MTX	10mg/kg + MTX					
			DA526 = 5.4-	function/M-HAQ							
			5.5	6 months	33.6	58.3					
			Meds prior to	12 months	27.7	49.6					
			enrollment (%)	Statistically significar	nt rates bt abatacept	10mg/kg vs. PBO (P<0.0	001)				
			=								
			MTX-98.1-								
			99.2								
			Other								
			DMARDs-								

February 2006

Genovese et al. (2005)	INCLUSION: ACR criteria for RA; $\geq$ 18 years of age; RA $\geq$ 1 year;	10mg/kg abatacept or placebo plus DMARDs < 60 kg = 500 mg of	Corticosteroids- 60.0-67.6 MTX dosage during study (mg/wk) 15.0-15.8 Female = 77.1- 79.7 Race, (%) =	N= 393 (abatacept + DMARE criteria and were not	Os = 258; placebo + rreated)	DMARDs = 133; 2 di	d not meet eligibility	Infections more freq = 0.30	uently in abatacept gr	oup (37.6%) vs. place	ebo group (32.3%). P	
6-month, RCT,	to anti-TNF therapy	abatacept; 60-100 kg = 750mg of abatacept;	White – 93.2- 96.1	%	Abatacept + DMARDs	Placebo + DMARDs	p- value	Most frequently repo infection	orted infections = nase	opharyngitis, sinusitis	s, upper respiratory	
DB, PC, Phase III	with etanercept, infliximab, or both	>100 kg = > 1000 mg of abatacept	Black – 3.5-3.8 Other – 0.4-3.0	ACR 20 ACR 50	50.4 20.3	19.5 3.8	<0.001 <0.001	Rates of D/C due to	Rates of D/C due to infection = 0.8% abatacept group; 1.5% placebo group; ?			
ATTAIN Trial	treatment (study initiated before	Med administered in a 30-min IV infusion on	Duration of RA = 34-69 years	ACR 70 Remission (DAS	10.2	0.8	=0.003 <0.001	Deaths - 1 pt died of	MI and CHF thought	unrelated to drug		
	adalimumab use widespread); $\geq 10$	Days 1, 15, 29, and Q 28 days thereafter, up to	Use of anti-	(DAS < 3.2)	17.1	3.1	<0.001	%	Abatacept + DMARDs	Placebo + DMARDs	p-value	
	$SJ; \ge 12 TJ; CRP \ge 1mg/dL (ULN, 0.5)$	and including day 141	TNF therapy - (%)	↑ in physical function (≥0.3 ↑	47.3	23.3	<0.001	Acute infusion reactions	5.0	3.0	P=0.35	
	0.5mg/dL); oral	All users were required	Current – 38.0-	in HAQ)				Dizziness	1.6	0	P=0.30	
	DMARD or	to stop taking	41.4				•	Headache	1.2	0.8	P=1.0	
	5  months  @ stable for at least dose X 28 days; use days, respe of ≤10mg before und corticosteroids randomizat allowed if dose stable x 28 days Pts had to 1	days, respectively, before undergoing Anti-TNF randomization. therapy = Etanercept – Pts had to be taking an 32.2-39.8	significantly higher in comparisons). @ 6 months, that aba HAQ disability index	tacept group also ha (0.45 vs. 0.11, P<0	p than in the placebo d greater mean impro .001)	group (P<0.001 for both vements from baseline in	Adverse Event N (%) Death	Abatacept (N = $258$ ) 1 (0.4) 27 (10 5)	Placebo ( $N = 113$ ) 0	p-value 1.0		
		oral DMARD or anakinra for at least 3 months, and dose had to have been stable for at least 28 days.	Infliximab – 60.2-67.8 Adalimumab – 1.5-2.3 Meds at	Abatacept also had si component summary	gnificant improvem scores (P<0.001 & p	ents in the physical co p<0.01, respectively)	omponent and mental	Events Events Serious Infections Limb abscess	6 (2.3) 1 (0.4)	3 (2.3) 0	0.97	
		Use of oral corticosteroids (≤10mg	randomization (%) =					Diverticulitis	1 (0.4)	0	1.0	
		of prednisone or its equivalent per day) if dose stable for 28 days	MTX – 75.6- 82.0 AZA – 2.3-2.7 Penicillamine –					Peridiverticular abscess	1 (0.4)	0	1.0	
		Changes in dosages of background DMARDs	0-0.4					Pneumonia	1 (0.4)	0	1.0	
		were not permitted except to avoid adverse	HCQ – 8.9-9.0 Chloroquine –					Bacterial pneumonia	1 (0.4)	0	1.0	
		encets	Leflunomide – 8.3-8.9					Influenzal pneumonia	1 (0.4)	0	1.0	
			SSZ – 7.0-9.8 Anakinra – 2.3- 2.7 NSAIDs – 70.2					Streptococcal sepsis	1 (0.4)	0	1.0	
			71.4					Acute sinusitis	0	1 (0.8)	0.34	

February 2006

Updated versions may be found at <u>http://www.pbm.va.gov</u> or <u>http://vaww.pbm.va.gov</u>

11

			Corticosteroids								
			- 64.7-70.2					Osteomyelitis	0	1 (0.8)	0.34
			MTX dose at					Pharyngitis	0	1 (0.8)	0.34
			baseline –								
			mg/wk = 14.4-					Sepsis	0	1 (0.8)	0.34
			15.2								
								Staphylococcal	0	1 (0.8)	0.34
			Median					abscess			
			corticosteroid					Any adverse	205 (79.5)	95 (71.4)	0.08
			dose at baseline					event			
			(ling/day) = 5.0					Most frequent			
			# TI - 31 2-					adverse events			
			$\pi 13 = 31.2^{-1}$					***	22 (12 1)	7 (5 2)	0.02
			52.0					HA	32 (12.4)	7 (5.3)	0.03
			# SJ = 22.0-					Neconhorungitic	20 (7.8)	8 (6 0)	0.52
			22.3					Nasopharyngitis	20 (7.8)	8 (0.0)	0.55
								Nausea	17 (6 6)	9 (6 8)	0.95
			Pain score =					rausea	17 (0.0)	) (0.0)	0.75
			69.9-70.8					Sinusitis	16 (6 2)	5 (3.8)	0.31
								bindsitis	10 (0.2)	5 (5.0)	0.51
			Physical-					Upper respiratory	15 (5.8)	10 (7.5)	0.51
			function score =					tract infection	10 (0.0)	10 (1.5)	0.01
			1.8								
								Diarrhea	15 (5.8)	7 (5.3)	0.82
			Global							, í	
			assessment of					Bronchitis	15 (5.8)	6 (4.5)	0.59
			disease:								
			PT = 69.2-69.7					Back pain	13 (5.0)	7 (5.3)	0.92
			MD = 67.3 - 68.8					D /C's	35 (13.6)	34 (25.6)	0.003
			D. 1990 6 5								
			DAS28 = 6.5					Adverse events	9 (3.5)	5 (3.8)	0.89
			CDD					Serious	7 (2.7)	2 (1.5)	
			CRP mg/dL =								
			4.0-4.0					Lack of efficacy	14 (5.4)	27 (20.3)	< 0.001
			+ <b>PF</b> $#(0%) -$						5 (1 0)	2 (1 5)	
			72 9-73 3					withdrawal of	5 (1.9)	2 (1.5)	1.0
			12.7 15.5					consent			
								T C 11	5 (1.0)	0	0.17
								Lost to follow-up	5 (1.9)	0	0.17
								Other	2 (0.8)	0	0.55
								Other	2 (0.8)	0	0.55
								Death	0	0	
Kremer	INCLUSION:	Fixed dose abatacent	$\Delta ge = 50.4$	N - 652				Deam	V	v	-
et al	INCLUSION.	10 mg/kg (<60kg –	51 5 vears	11 - 032				ADVERSE EVEN	•	Abatacept ± MTY	PBO + MTX
(2005)	>/= 18 years of age.	500 mg; 60-100 mg =	SILS years,	N (%)	Abatacent	Placebo	P-value	n (%)		(N=433)	(N=219)
(2000)	RA > = 1 year	750mg; >100kg =	Weight $= 70.2 - $	Received tx	433	219		Death		1(02)	1(0.5)
AIM	duration; American	1000mg) vs. placebo	72.3 kg;	Completed Study	385 (88 0%)	162 (74.0%)		Total Advarsa Ever	te	378 (87 3)	184 (84 0)
Trial	Rheumatism Assoc.	with background MTX.		6 MONTHS	303 (00.770)	102 (74.070)		Related to study	drug	214 (49 4)	104 (47 5)
	criteria for RA;	Study med administered	Women = 77.8-	ACP 20	288 (68%)	85 (40%)	<0.001 vs	D/C due to AFe	urug	18(42)	4 (1 8)
	active RA despite	by IVF over 30 minutes	81.7%;	ACK 20	200 (0070)	03 (40%)	$\sim 0.001$ vs.	Most frequently ren	orted AEs (>5%)	10 (7.2)	- (1.0)
1-year,	MTX tx; MTX>/=	on Days 1, 15, 29, and		ACR 50	169 (40%)	36 (17%)	<0.001 vs	HA	onea (1120 (2070)	76 (17.6)	26 (11.9)
RCT,	15 mg/wk x >/= 3	Q 28 days thereafter.	White = 87.5-	nen Ju	107 (10/0)	50 (17/0)	placebo + MTX	Nasopharyngitis		66 (15.2)	25 (11.4)
DB, PC,	months with stable	No premedication	88.1%;	ACR 70	84 (20%)	14 (7%)	<0.001 vs	Nausea		52 (12.0)	24 (11.0)
MC	dose x 28 days	required All pts to		ACK /U	07 (20/0)	1+(//0)	~0.001 vs.				

February 2006

#### Abatacept Monograph

Phase III	before enrollment;	receive MTX >/=	Disease				placebo + MTX	Diarrhea	47 (10.9)	21 (9.6)	
trial	washout of all other	15 mg/wk or = 10 mg/wk	Duration = $8.5$ -	12 MONTHS	210 (72.10)	00 (20 50)	0.001	URI	47 (10.9)	21 (9.6)	
	DMARDs at least 28	if fi/o toxicity. No	8.9 years;	ACR 20	310 (73.1%)	88 (39.7%)	<0.001 vs.	Dizziness Book Boin	40 (9.2)	10(7.3) 12(5.5)	
	randomization:	dose for the first 6	MTV doco -				placebo + MTX	Jack Palli Influenzo	40(9.2) 21(7.2)	12(5.5) 12(5.5)	
	corticosteroid	months except for	$15.7 \ 16.1$	ACR 50	205 (48.3%)	39 (18.2%)	<0.001 vs.	Cough	29(67)	12(5.5) 13(5.9)	
	$use_{z}/=10 \text{ mg/day}$	toxicity Adjustment in	mg/wk:	A CD 70	100 (00 00())	12 (6 10()	placebo + MTX	Dyspensia	27 (6.2)	10(4.6)	
	with dose stable $x$	meds allowed between	mg/ wk,	ACR /0	122 (28.8%)	13 (6.1%)	<0.001 vs.	Pharyngitis	26 (6.0)	10(4.6)	
	25 days before	6.12 months for:	TI = 31.0 =				placebo + MTX	HTN	20(0.0) 24(5.5)	3(14)	
	enrollment: $>/-10$	1)Adjustment in MTX	32 3.	MAJOR	60 (14%)	4 (2%)	<0.001 vs.	Fatigue	23(53)	15(6.8)	
	SI: >= 12 TI: CRP	dose 2) Addition of 1	52.5,	CLINICAL			placebo + MTX	UTI	23(5.5) 22(5.1)	11 (5.0)	
	> 10 mg/L (normal	other DMARD (HCO	SI = 21.4 -	RESPONSE @ 1				Upper abdominal pain	19(4.4)	11(5.0) 13(5.9)	
	1.0 mg/L-4.0 mg/L):	SSZ gold, or AZA)	22.1:	YEAR				Sinusitie	19(4.4) 18(4.2)	15(5.9) 15(6.8)	
	TB skin test	or 3) adjustment of		(Abatacept+MTX				Bronchitis	18(4.2) 18(4.2)	12(5.5)	
	(excluded + TB skin	corticosteroid dose =	Pain (100-mm	N=424;				Diolemus	10 (4.2)	12 (5.5)	
	test unless	10mg of prednisone or	VAS) = 63.3 -	PBO+MIX =				SERIOUS AND INFUSIONAL	Abstacent + MTY	PBO + MTY	
	completed treatment	less/day	65.9;	214)	25 (59)	1 ( 10)	0.002	ADVERSE EVENTS AND	(N-433)	(N-210)	
	for latent TB before		,	EXTENDED	26 (6%)	1 (<1%)	<0.002 vs.	SERIOUS INFECTIONS	(1 - 433)	(1N-219)	
	enrollment)		Physical fxn	MAJOR			placebo + MTX	n (%)			
			(HAO - DI) =	CLINICAL				Serious Adverse Events	65 (15 0)	26 (11.0)	
			17.	RESPONSE @ 1				Pelated to study drug	15(35)	$\frac{20(11.9)}{1(0.5)}$	
			1.7,	YEAR				D/Ce des to SAEs	10 (3.3)	1(0.3)	
			Pt global	(Abatacept+MTX				D/Cs due to SAEs	10(2.3)	3 (1.4)	
			assessment –	N=424;				Musculoskeletal and connective	20 (4.6)	10 (4.6)	
			62 7 -62 8·	PBO+MTX =				tissue disorders	17 (2.0)	5 (2 2)	
			02.7-02.0,	214)				Infections	1/(3.9)	5 (2.3)	
			MD global	Physical Fxn	63.7%	39.3%	< 0.001	Nervous System Disorders	0(1.4)	4 (1.8)	
			assessment -	Improvement @ 1				Cardiac Disorders	4 (0.9)	2 (0.9)	
			67.4-68.0	year				Neoplasms (benign, malignant,	4 (0.9)	2 (0.9)	
			0711 0010,					A sute infusional advance events	20 (0 0)	0 (4 1)	
			CRP 28-33	No differences in resp	onse in patients wit	h recent-onset vs. mo	re established disease.	Acute infusional adverse events	30 (0.0)	9 (4.1)	
			mg/L:	Statistical comparisor	is between abatacep	- and placebo - treate	d patients were not	Peri-infusional adverse events	106 (24.5)	37 (10.9)	
			8,	performed on the post	hoc analysis			Serious infections (prespecified)	11 (2.5)	2 (0.9)	
			RF – 78.5 –		[			Pneumonia	4 (0.9)	1 (0.5)	
			81.8;	RADIOGRAHIC	Abatacept	Placebo	P-value	Bronchopneumonia	2 (0.5)	0	
				PROGRESSION	(N=391)	(N=195)		Cellulitis	1 (0.2)	1 (0.5)	
			Baseline	Median change				Sepsis	1 (0.2)	1 (0.5)	
			radiographic	from baseline				Abscess	1 (0.2)	0	
			score:	Erosion score	0.0	0.27	0.029	Bacterial arthritis	1 (0.2)	0	
			Erosion = 21.7-	Joint-space	0.0	0.0	0.009	Bronchopulmonary Aspergillosis	1 (0.2)	0	
			21.8	narrowing score				Acute pyelonephritis	1 (0.2)	0	
			JSN = 22.8 -	Total Score	0.25	0.53	0.012	Tuberculosis	1 (0.2)	1 (0.5)	
			23.0	Mean change				Limb abscess	0	1 (0.5)	
			Total score =	from baseline							
			44.5-44.9:	Erosion score	0.63	1.14		Most frequently reported AEs (>5% in ei	ther group) = $HA$ , Nasc	opharyngitis, N	
				Ioint-space	0.53	1.18					
			Antirheumatic	narrowing score	0.00			More pts d/c'd due to AEs in the abatace	pt group than in the place	cebo group (4.2%	
			medications at	Total score	1.21	2.22		vs. 1.8%)			
			enrollment:	At 1 year abatacent r	1.21 ts demonstrated stat	2.32	owing of structural damage				
			MTX - 100%	norression compared	with placebo with	sucarry significant significant si	Most frequently reported SAEs = musculoskeletal, primarily related to				
			Other	Show accura compared	with placebo with a	approx 50% reduction	i in change from baseline in	hospitalizations for RA flares or elective surgery for RA			
			DMARDs -	snarp score compared	i with placebo						
			DMARDs = 8.7-12.2% Biologics =	DAG	Alexant	D11	Develop	Incidence of infection higher with abatacept [2 d/c (5%) for abatacept vs. 1 d/c			
				DAS	Abatacept	Placebo	P-value	(0.5%) for placebo]			
			0.2%	6 MONTHS		10.00					
			Corticosteroide	DAS 28 = 3.2</td <td>30.1%</td> <td>10.0%</td> <td>&lt; 0.001</td> <td>Increased cases of pneumonia with abata</td> <td>cept vs. placebo</td> <td></td>	30.1%	10.0%	< 0.001	Increased cases of pneumonia with abata	cept vs. placebo		
			68 5_72 1%	DAS 28 < 2.6	14.8%	2.8%	< 0.001				
			00.3-12.170	12 MONTHS	1			TB = abatacept group - 1 pt with enlarge	d lymph node with bior	sy compatible with	

February 2006

Updated versions may be found at <u>http://www.pbm.va.gov</u> or <u>http://vaww.pbm.va.gov</u>

Abatacept Monograph

			NSAIDs = 82.6-85.5 Other = 0.2% Mean baseline DAS = 6.4	DAS 28 = 3.2<br DAS 28 < 2.6	42.5% 23.8%	9.9% 1.9%		<0.001 <0.001	possible TB; placebo Deaths = abatacept g P. aeuroginosa pneu Neoplasms = abatace background Hashim No major autoimmu Infusion reactions –	) group – 1 unca group – 1 pt with monia, sepsis, rr ept group – 1 pt oto's thyroiditis; ne disorder 2 pts d/c'd due t	onfirmed case h pulmonary disease; placebo group – 1 pt with nultiorgan failure t with B-cell lymphoma of thyroid with s; placebo group – 1 pt with endometrial cancer to severe infusion reactions = 1 after the 2 <sup>nd</sup>		
*Combe et al. (2005) ASSURE trial	INCLUSION: Active RA receiving non-biologic or biologic DMARDs	Fixed dose of abatacept (10mg/kg) or placebo in combination with non- biologic or biologic DMARDs	Most were on combination therapy with non-biologic DMARDs; A much smaller group received background biologic DMARDs	N = 1441 $\%$ improvement from baseline at 1 yearAbatacept/ non-biologic (N = 848)Placebo/ non- biologic (N=418)Abatacept/ biologic (N = 100)Placebo/ biologic (N=59)Patient physical function (HAQ)30.12 (1.8)9.03 (5.4)22.45 (4.6)14.91 (5.5)Patient global assessment of disease41.17 (1.7)20.64 (3.4)35.74 (4.4)26.49 (6.8)		Placebo/ biologic (N=59) 14.91 (5.5) 26.49 (6.8)	Immunogenicity – 6 pts (1.4%) demonstrated antibody reactivity to abataceptN (%)Abatacept/ non - biologic (N = 856)Placebo/ non - biologic (N=418)Abatacept/ biologic (N = 103)Placebo/ biologic (N = 64)Total adverse events768 (89.7) 768 (89.7)360 (86.1) 360 (86.1)98 (95.1) 9 (95.1)57 (89. 2 (3.1)Discontinuations due to adverse events43 (5.0) 18 (4.3)18 (4.3) 9 (8.7)9 (8.7) 2 (3.1)2 (3.1)Serious adverse events100 (11.7) 2 (3.2)51 (12.2) 2 (3.8)23 (22.3) 7 (6.8)8 (12.5)				abatacept Placebo/ biologic (N=64) 57 (89.1) 2 (3.1) 8 (12.5) 1 (1.6)		
				activity (VAS) Patient global assessment of pain (VAS)	37.23 (2.6)	18.55 (3.4)	33.52 (5.1)	22.43 (5.5)	(benign and malignant) Infections (all pre-specified) Serious infections (pre- specified)	75 (8.8) 13 (1.5)	36 (8.6) 4 (1.0)	20 (19.4) 4 (3.9)	4 (6.3) 1 (1.6)

\* ABSTRACT